



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/524,693	03/14/2000	Junichiro Yamada	044499/0108	8885

22428 7590 12/29/2003

FOLEY AND LARDNER  
SUITE 500  
3000 K STREET NW  
WASHINGTON, DC 20007

EXAMINER

CHAWAN, SHEELA C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2625

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003

11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/524,693	<b>Applicant(s)</b> YAMADA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sheela C Chawan	<b>Art Unit</b> 2625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sheela C Chawan.

(3) Sanjeev Dhand.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 December 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: dependent claim 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: Pionsenka et al. (US.4,993,068).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 1. Applicant argues that Pionsenka reference does not store identification algorithm on portable media itself . 2. does not agree with fig 2, item 4 and fig 2, item 3 . The examiner disagrees and pointed out fig 2, item 4 as a portable processor including algorithm stored inside the processor, ( see column 7, lines 51- 58, column 8, lines 48- 61, column 9, lines 22-31) as to fig 2, item 3 is also considered as a portable media see abstract line 6, credentials are stored on a portable memory device (credit card ).